Using sensorimotor communication to enhance on-line social interactions: data and modeling



**CORDULA VESPER** DEPARTMENT OF COGNITIVE SCIENCE

CENTRAL EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY



**GIOVANNI PEZZULO** INSTITUTE OF COGNITIVE SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGIES NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL, ROME, ITALY





## We continuously exchange bodily (social) signals for coordination





Contact Dance

Penalty kicks (2006)



Deceiving signals in martial arts (Yamamoto et al. 2013)

#### Sensorimotor coupling



#### Mutual prediction





From Marc Jeannerod's hompage

Action simulation: off-line re-enactment of the same motor programs (and internal models) implied in online action control and prediction

## Prediction (and understanding) in social contexts is hard...



...but we can help each other solve this problem!

### Sensorimotor communication - signaling

#### "The process of altering one's own behavior to facilitate its recognition by other persons"

Beyond automatic forms of signaling: we can intentionally / strategically deliver bodily signals as coordination signals to a co-actor (e.g., to reduce her uncertainty) – ultimately, to enhance joint goals. (But also to feint.)



#### **OUTLINE:**

- "Why" and "when" using sensorimotor communication?
- "How" can sensorimotor communication be formalized?
- Which task / contexts promote it?
- Which are the relations between sensorimotor and other (more sophisticated) forms of communication?

# Sensorimotor communication in joint actions: one example

#### A simple joint action: reaching a "bottle" simultaneously



Sacheli, Tidoni, Pavone, Aglioti, Candidi 2013, Exp Brain Res

Mutual adjustments (Sebanz et al., 2006); alignment and synchronization of behavior (Bargh & Chartrand, 1999; Pickering & Garrod, 2013); many others

# Same joint action, with *asymmetric information*: "leader" and "follower"



<u>Leader</u>: knows where to reach <u>Follower</u>: knows only if the action is imitative or complementary





Sacheli, Tidoni, Pavone, Aglioti, Candidi 2013, Exp Brain Res

**Signaling strategies!** Leaders signal their intentions by carving their movements kinematics

(Note that this is not pantomime or conventional gesture)

#### Modeling signaling as *dissimilation*



Pezzulo, Donnaumma, Dindo, 2013, PLoS ONE

"Default" trajectories for

the two actions

#### When signaling: *dissimilation* effect



### Signaling with three possible actions



### How much to signal? Cost-benefit analysis COST for Leader BENEFIT for Follower / dyad







The result of the cost-benefit computation (i.e., the amount of signaling) is called  $\lambda$  (lambda) coefficient.

#### How much to signal? Best lambda coefficient over time

• Data analysis: We reconstructed Leaders'  $\lambda$  (i.e., amount of signaling) over time in *Sacheli et al. 2013 (Exp Brain Res)* 



Amount of signaling varies within trials

#### To sum up

- We use bodily signals *strategically* to enhance interaction success
- Signaling: pragmatic + communicative intention
- Joint action optimization: pay a cost to help solve interaction problems
  - Signaling has a **cost** (e.g., biomechanic cost); seems unreasonable from an individualistic perspective. But can be advantageous if considered part of a **joint action optimization** framework
  - Make your action discriminable / predictable; your mind "readable"

#### Signaling in other domains...



Picture from Asada Laboratory



Child-directed speech (*motherese*, Kuhl et al., 1997); over-articulation of speech in noisy pubs (*Lombard Effect*). Child-directed action (*motionese*).



Orchestras (D'Ausilio et al 2012)



Fluent fingerspelling (Jerde et al., 2003)

## Sensorimotor communication in repeated interactions

### Studying repeated interactions

8 blocks (552 trials)



Leader executes triplets of movements, with "rules", for example:





Candidi, Curioni, Donnarumma, Sacheli, Pezzulo 2015, J Roy Soc Interface

While the Follower cannot predict the first and second trials, he can predict the third once he learns the "rules" (implicit learning)

#### Trial-by-trial, model-based analysis

#### Null hypothesis M1: uniform distribution History

M2: Grasping Asynchrony (GA) at the previous trial t-1

M3: average of the GA over all the exp. trials

M4: GA of k previous trials

#### **Structure**

**M5**: GA third trials of each triplet **On-line** info

M6: current co-actor's kinematics

**Results: Leader's signaling** 



Models



### To sum up

- Signaling and imitation behaviors change during repetitive interactions the hallmark of a flexible process
- Leaders strategically use past interactions to shape their signaling strategies
- (Followers rely on on-line information more than on past interactions)
- (Good signaling strategies especially M5 good predictors of dyad performance)

### Sensorimotor communication and the alignment and sharing of plans

## Signaling can be used strategically to influence your plans (not only your current action)



## Humans consider / monitor another's uncertainty when deciding (not) to signal

Signaling only when there is information gain



Pezzulo and Dindo, 2011, Exp Brain Res

#### Interim summary

- Signaling in joint action optimization.
  Helps solving interaction problems
- Signaling in 1) single interactions, 2) repeated interactions, 3) repeated interactions with multi-step plans
- In single interactions, dissimilation. In multi-step plans, signaling helps aligning our strategies ("which tower are we building")
  - Common ground (Clark 1996); shared representations (Sebanz et al 2006)







